

Civil Disobedience Essay

Martin Luther King Jr. once said that "One has a moral responsibility to disobey unjust laws" and when breaking an unjust law one "must do so openly, lovingly, and with a willingness to accept the penalty." By this he is saying if you are going to break the law do it so people know, and knowing the consequences of what you are doing. History has encountered multiple examples in which people used Civil Disobedience to let people know about the unjust laws that occurred and work for social change. Both Boycott and Satyagraha illustrate how the use of nonviolent protesting can be used as an effective tool to put an end to unjust laws.

Boycott showed how African Americans in the 1950's were going through unjust laws with the use of buses. Rosa Parks ~~was sitting in the front of the bus~~, and ~~she~~ was accused of sitting in a white people's seat. During this time African Americans were still being segregated from the whites on buses. Whites had the ~~privilege~~ of sitting in the front of the bus, while blacks were in the back. ~~Although~~ because African Americans were tired of the they took it upon themselves to do a bus boycott. This meant that African Americans would not ride buses till ~~further~~ notice till they got what they wanted, which was to sit freely on the bus. Blacks were ~~treated~~ multiple times by whites. For example, Martin Luther King Jr.'s house was ~~being~~ bombed, as well as receiving death threats before 1960s the leader of the movement. Another example, ~~taxis were never~~ and people with either being arrested or being forced because of computing. The leaders did a good job and a plan to win the rights over

is this why they wanted to stop the boycott?

they were illegal? That did not stop the African Americans though.

Instead of a more violent approach, they used a more peaceful and loving response to how they are being treated. King

Jr was visited by Bayard Rustin who was a pacifist from New York and advised King to refer to ~~Gandhi's~~ peaceful protest.

Mr. King spoke to the people of the protest and referred to ~~Gandhi~~ as well as Bible verses to pacify them. He as well also said to use the weapon of "love" over not violence. The boycott was a huge process that lasted for 13 months and finally came to an end. The unjust law was changed by the use of bus boycotts. Violence was not needed for this process only time and patience which is how this changed history.

In addition, ~~Gandhi~~ as well used Civil Disobedience to protest about the Salt Tax. The unjust law of ~~the~~ Salt March was that Indians were being highly taxed on salt by 2000%. These taxes hurt them spiritually as well as made them poor. Also, knowing salt was highly taxed, they were not able to make their own salt. ~~Gandhi~~ as well as 79 marchers, chose to march for 2000 mi salt to grab people's attention. ~~Gandhi~~ was a motivational person to his followers because of the speeches that he made. Not only this, but he as well found a way to create illegal salt. This salt was made from the beach and people followed his ways. Lastly, ~~Gandhi~~ wrote a letter to Lord Irwin, speaking for Indian citizens saying they are tired of what the British are doing. ~~Gandhi~~ as well took the first nonviolent approach. Multiple men were killed or brutally injured when the British were beating them. He used the motto "weapon of love" to persuade the citizens not to fight back. Even though ~~Gandhi~~ was sent to jail, he did this to help his people, which was a success.

Around 1945, the British rule against India ended and the unjust law was changed.

- The use of violence isn't always needed if we are able to have patience with one another. People, even other countries do not understand? That, if we are able to refer to both peaceful people, maybe things